Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Two tetrahydrofuran solvates of $HN(SePPh_2)_2$

Christopher G. Pernin and James A. Ibers*

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Rd, Evanston, IL 60208-3113, USA Correspondence e-mail: ibers@chem.nwu.edu

Received 2 September 1999 Accepted 17 September 1999

The X-ray crystal structures of P,P'-iminobis(diphenylselenophosphine) tetrahydrofuran solvate, $C_{24}H_{21}NP_2Se_2 \cdot C_4H_8O$, (I), and bis(tetrahydrofuran) solvate, $C_{24}H_{21}NP_2Se_2 \cdot 2C_4H_8O$, (II), have been determined; (I) has twofold crystallographic symmetry. In each compound, the O atom of one molecule of tetrahydrofuran is hydrogen bonded to the amide-H atom of HN(SePPh₂)₂. The conformation of the Se-P-N-P-Se chains in (I), (II), and the crystal structure of the unsolvated HN(SePPh₂)₂ are different.

Comment

As part of our work on soluble rare-earth chalcogenide species (Pernin & Ibers, 1997, 1999*a*, *b*) we have investigated rare-earth complexes of the imidodiphosphinochalcogenido ligand $[N(QPPh_2)_2]^-$ (Q = S, Se) (Pernin & Ibers, 1999*b*). In addition to η^2 -coordination through the two chalcogen atoms, the ligand adopts η^3 -coordination through the two chalcogen atoms and the N atom to the rare-earth atoms. This is in contrast to the previously reported transition- and main group-metal complexes containing the ligand (Bhattacharyya, Slawin *et al.*, 1995; García-Montalvo *et al.*, 1997) where it typically adopts η^2 -coordination. In this paper we show that the conformation of the Se-P-N-P-Se chain is sensitive to solvation.



Figs. 1 and 2 show displacement ellipsoid diagrams with the atom numbering of compounds (I) and (II). The molecules in (I) have an imposed crystallographic twofold axis. Tables 1, 2 and 3 contain selected bond distances and angles. In (I) and (II), P-Se [2.094 (1) for (I); 2.097 (1) and 2.099 (1) Å for (II)] and P-N [1.676 (1) for (I); 1.690 (2) and 1.680 (2) Å for (II)] bonding distances are similar to each other and to the P-Se

distances of 2.085 (1) and 2.101 (1) Å and the P–N distances of 1.678 (4) and 1.686 (3) Å in unsolvated HN(SePPh₂)₂. The conformations of the Se–P–N–P–Se chains in the three compounds differ markedly, the Se–P···P–Se 'torsion angles' being 81.75 (3), 179.19 (3) and 154°, respectively. Both (II) and the unsolvated species are approximately *anti* with respect to that torsion angle whereas (I) is approximately *gauche*. The S–P···P–S 'torsion angle' is 156° in the unsolvated sulfur analogue, HN(SPPh₂)₂ (Husebye & Maartmann, 1983).

In the structure of the unsolvated species, $HN(SePPh_2)_2$ molecules are linked by N-H···Se hydrogen bonds to form dimer pairs. In the structure of $HN(SPPh_2)_2$ there are similar dimers. In each of the present structures, the HN(SePPh₂)₂ molecule is linked to a THF (tetrahydrofuran) molecule through an N1-H1A···O1 hydrogen bond (Table 2). The $N1 \cdots O1$ distances [2.771 (3) for (I) and 2.815 (3) Å for (II)] are similar to the distances in other THF-amide hydrogenbonded interactions (Etter et al., 1990; Bonamico et al., 1991). The dihedral angle between the hydrogen-bonded THF molecules and the P–N–P plane is 79.3 (1) in (I) and 25.3 (3) $^{\circ}$ in (II). Some atoms of the THF molecules show large displacement ellipsoids for data collected at 153 K. This may be due to conformational changes between the twist and envelope forms of the five-membered rings (Cremer & Pople, 1975; Luo et al., 1990; Westerhausen et al., 1998). Nonetheless, C-O and C-C bonds are normal in (I) and exhibit some unreasonable distances in (II) [for example C25-C26 = 1.330 (6) Å, see Tables 1 and 3 for additional distances and angles]. This apparent disorder in the hydrogen-bonded THF molecule in (II) could not be modeled adequately with the superposition of more than one molecule of THF of differing conformation.

P-C bond distances for (I) [1.814 (2) and 1.815 (2) Å] and (II) [1.815 (3), 1.820 (2), 1.812 (3) and 1.818 (2) Å] are normal as are the average C-C distances, 1.381 (9) and 1.386 (8) Å.



Figure 1

The structure of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and H atoms, in idealized positions, as small circles.



Figure 2

The structure of (II) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and H atoms, in idealized positions, as small circles.

Experimental

Unsolvated HN(SePPh₂)₂ was prepared by a literature method (Bhattacharya, Novosad et al., 1995). The reaction of more than one equivalent of $HN(SePPh_2)_2$ with Cp_3Y (Cp = cyclopentadienyl) in THF produces Cp₂Y[N(SePPh₂)₂] in addition to leaving unreacted HN(SePPh₂)₂ in solution (Pernin & Ibers, 1999b). Upon dilution of the THF solution with pentane, crystals of HN(SePPh₂)₂ containing one, (I), and two, (II), molecules of THF were crystallized at 258 K.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

$C_{24}H_{21}NP_2Se_2 \cdot C_4H_8O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 615.38$	Cell parameters from 8192
Tetragonal, P41212	reflections
a = 10.121 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.16 - 28.5^{\circ}$
c = 26.118 (10) Å	$\mu = 2.905 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 2675.5 (14) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 153 (2) K
Z = 4	Block, colorless
$D_x = 1.528 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffract-	2891 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.53^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: empirical	$h = -13 \rightarrow 6$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.580, \ T_{\max} = 0.748$	$l = -31 \rightarrow 33$
17047 measured reflections	Intensity decay: <2%

1 2043 independent reflections (plus 1194 Friedel-related reflections)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.059$	
S = 0.97	
3237 reflections	
155 parameters	
H-atom parameters constrained	

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.04F_o^2)^2]$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983) Flack parameter = -0.022 (8)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^\circ)$ for (I).

Se1-P1	2.0942 (7)	N1-01	2.771 (3)
P1-N1	1.6/5/(12)	013-01	1.424 (3)
P1-C1	1.814 (2)	C13-C14	1.477 (4)
P1-C7	1.815 (2)	C14-C141	1.490 (6)
N1-P1 ⁱ	1.6757 (12)	O1-C13 ⁱ	1.424 (3)
N1-P1-C1	102.38 (10)	C7-P1-Se1	113.54 (7)
N1-P1-C7	107.50 (7)	P1 ⁱ -N1-P1	125.15 (14)
C1-P1-C7	103.69 (9)	O1-C13-C14	107.1 (2)
N1-P1-Se1	114.54 (6)	C13-C14-C14 ⁱ	103.61 (17)
C1-P1-Se1	114.03 (7)	C13 ⁱ -O1-C13	108.9 (3)
C1-P1-N1-P1 ⁱ	169.78 (7)	Se1-P1-N1-P1 ⁱ	45.83 (3)
C7-P1-N1-P1 ⁱ	-81.36 (7)	Se1-P1-P1 ⁱ -Se1 ⁱ	81.75 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) 1 + y, x - 1, 2 - z.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
(I) N1 $-$ H1 A ···O1	0.88	1.89	2.771 (3)	180
(II) N1−H1 <i>A</i> …O1	0.88	1.99	2.815 (3)	157

Compound (II)

S=1.242

7046 reflections

352 parameters

Crystal data	
$\begin{array}{l} C_{24}H_{21}NP_2Se_2\cdot 2C_4H_8O\\ M_r = 687.49\\ Triclinic, \ensuremath{\mathcal{P}1}\\ a = 8.796\ (2)\ \mbox{\AA}\\ b = 13.248\ (3)\ \mbox{\AA}\\ c = 13.864\ (3)\ \mbox{\AA}\\ \alpha = 97.832\ (4)^\circ\\ \beta = 102.673\ (4)^\circ\\ \gamma = 94.545\ (4)^\circ\\ V = 1551.6\ (6)\ \mbox{\AA}^3 \end{array}$	Z = 2 $D_x = 1.471 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\$\alpha\$ radiation Cell parameters from 7641 reflections $\theta = 1.52-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.516 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 153 (2) K Block, colorless $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffract- ometer ω scans Absorption correction: empirical (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.576, T_{\max} = 0.778$ 14636 measured reflections 7046 independent reflections	5578 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.024$ $\theta_{max} = 28.27^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 17$ Intensity decay: <2%
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constraine

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$ wR(F²) = 0.089

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

2.0967 (7)	C25-O1	1.451 (4)
2.0990 (7)	C26-C27	1.515 (4)
1.6896 (19)	C27-C28	1.494 (4)
1.815 (3)	C28-O1	1.410 (3)
1.820(2)	C29-C30	1.500 (6)
1.6804 (19)	C29-C31	1.511 (6)
1.812 (3)	C30-O2	1.426 (4)
1.818 (2)	C31-C32	1.489 (5)
2.815 (3)	C32-O2	1.422 (4)
1.330 (6)		
104.00 (10)	D2 M 1 O1	10(07 (10)
104.00 (10)	P2-N1-O1	106.87 (10)
100.91 (10)	PI-NI-OI	118.72 (10)
107.79 (11)	$C_{26} - C_{25} - O_{1}$	109.3 (3)
117.36 (7)	C25 - C26 - C27	106.3 (4)
113.05 (8)	C28 - C27 - C26	102.7 (3)
112.56 (8)	O1-C28-C27	105.9 (2)
105.26 (11)	C30-C29-C31	103.6 (3)
107.57 (10)	O2-C30-C29	105.0 (3)
105.86 (11)	C32-C31-C29	104.5 (4)
111.96 (7)	O2-C32-C31	107.7 (3)
112.39 (8)	C28-O1-C25	108.2 (2)
113.26 (8)	C32-O2-C30	104.8 (3)
132.67 (12)		
104.35 (18)	Se2-P2-N1-O1	62.51 (11)
-8.2(2)	C1 - P1 - N1 - P2	58.93 (18)
-133.26(14)	C7 - P1 - N1 - P2	170.57 (17)
-59.89(13)	Se1 - P1 - N1 - P2	-66.77(18)
-172.44(12)	Se1-P1-P2-Se2	179.19 (3)
	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0967~(7)\\ 2.0990~(7)\\ 1.6896~(19)\\ 1.815~(3)\\ 1.820~(2)\\ 1.6804~(19)\\ 1.812~(3)\\ 1.818~(2)\\ 2.815~(3)\\ 1.330~(6)\\ \hline\\ 104.00~(10)\\ 100.91~(10)\\ 100.91~(10)\\ 107.79~(11)\\ 117.36~(7)\\ 113.05~(8)\\ 105.26~(11)\\ 107.57~(10)\\ 105.26~(11)\\ 111.96~(7)\\ 112.39~(8)\\ 113.26~(8)\\ 132.67~(12)\\ \hline\\ 104.35~(18)\\ -8.2~(2)\\ -133.26~(14)\\ -59.89~(13)\\ -172.44~(12)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

H atoms were placed at calculated positions (0.88 Å for amide protons, 0.95 Å for aliphatic protons and 0.99 Å for aromatic protons) and refined with a riding model. The U_{iso} value for a given H atom was assigned as 1.2 times the U_{iso} of the atom to which it is attached.

For both compounds, data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999a); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999b); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick,

1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This research was supported by National Science Foundation grant CHE-9819385.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1508). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bhattacharyya, P., Novosad, J., Phillips, J., Slawin, A. M. Z., Williams, D. J. & Woollins, J. D. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1607–1613.
- Bhattacharyya, P., Slawin, A. M. Z., Williams, D. J. & Woollins, J. D. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2489–2495.
- Bonamico, M., Fares, V., Flamini, A. & Poli, N. (1991). Inorg. Chem. 30, 3081– 3087.
- Bruker (1999a). SMART. Version 5.054. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999b). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358.
- Etter, M. C., Urbañczyk-Lipkowska, Z., Zia-Ebrahimi, M. & Panunto, T. W. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 8415–8426.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- García-Montalvo, V., Novosad, J., Kilian, P., Woollins, J. D., Slawin, A. M. Z., García y García, P., López-Cardoso, M., Espinosa-Pérez, G. & Cea-Olivares, R. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1025–1029.
- Husebye, S. & Maartmann-Moe, K. (1983). Acta Chem. Scand. A, 37, 439-441.
- Luo, X.-L., Schulte, G. K. & Crabtree, R. H. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 682-686.
- Pernin, C. G. & Ibers, J. A. (1997). Inorg. Chem. 36, 3802-3803.
 - Pernin, C. G. & Ibers, J. A. (1999a). J. Cluster Sci. 10, 71-90.
 - Pernin, C. G. & Ibers, J. A. (1999b). Inorg. Chem. 38, 5178-5183.
 - Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
 - Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
 - Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL/PC. Version 5.101. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 - Westerhausen, M., Wieneke, M., Nöth, H., Seifert, T., Pfitzner, A., Schwarz, W., Schwarz, O. & Weidlein, J. (1998). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 8, 1175–1182.